

**Toth, Karen E.**

---

**From:** Nasser, Bob  
**Sent:** Friday, June 09, 2006 7:36 AM  
**To:** Toth, Karen E.  
**Subject:** RE: 10/810412

approved

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Toth, Karen E.  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 08, 2006 11:54 AM  
**To:** Nasser, Bob  
**Subject:** RE: 10/810412

On second look, Miller doesn't teach two **collecting** electrodes - only one of the electrodes in the structure is capable of collecting an analyte. Avrahami seems to be teaching a device that can be used as part of a moving apparatus, but doesn't have to be - they don't get into that until the very end.

Claim 30 - it seems pretty spelled out to me - forming the paths comprises putting an electrode on the skin, powering it, removing it, so it seems like the only reason to put on that extra electrode would be to form paths.

Added real reasons for allowability.

Reposting to you now.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nasser, Bob  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 08, 2006 8:56 AM  
**To:** Toth, Karen E.  
**Subject:** RE: 10/810412

1. in paragraph 3 - you need to add in claim 20 in the rejection statement - i.e. claims 19, 02, and 22 are rejected .

...

2. how about item #3 below?

3. for the capable part iwth kim - i would move the part where you say it is capable of such use down to the explanation - in other words, iw ould say it is cpaable of the use because .....

4. i take it you agreed about claim 29 - you don;t have to agree - i was just asking

5. for claim 2 id say that .3 cm<sup>2</sup> is 30 mm<sup>2</sup> whichis "about" 25 mm<sup>2</sup>

6. as far as which reference to use - does eithe rteachw hy they use 2 electrodes? it strikes me that if not, mille might be better because the one of avrahmi is designed to move accross the skin, while miller and your base reference are stationary

7. for 30+ - not sure i would read two much into "path forming" the claim only states that it is place donteh skin - i would make them recite what the path forming electrode does before i gave it to them

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Toth, Karen E.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 07, 2006 6:22 PM  
**To:** Nasser, Bob  
**Subject:** RE: 10/810412

Reposted now.